arXiv:1609.03188v5 [math.NT] 9 Feb 2017

ON THE DISTRIBUTION OF $\alpha p^\gamma + \beta$ MODULO ONE

ALEXANDER DUNN

Abstract. Let $\|\cdot\|$ denote the minimum distance to an integer. For $0 < \gamma < 1$, $\theta > 0$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}$ we study when

$$\|\alpha p^\gamma + \beta\| < p^{-\theta},$$

holds for infinitely many primes p of a special type. In particular, we consider when this inequality holds for primes p such that $p + 2$ has few prime factors counted with multiplicity. This is done using an exponential sum estimate of the author and the linear sieve of Iwaniec with bilinear error term. This is related to recent work of Tolev, Todorova, Matömaki and Cai.

1. Introduction

The distribution of the sequence $\{\alpha p\}$ modulo one where α is irrational and p runs through the set of prime numbers has a strong history dating back to Vinogradov. Let $\|x\| = \min_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |x - n|$ and $\{x\}$ denote the fractional part of x. For α irrational, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < \theta < 1/5$, Vinogradov in 1947 [18] proved his celebrated result that there are infinitely many primes p such that

$$\|\alpha p + \beta\| < p^{-\theta}. \tag{1}$$

This was recently strengthened to the exponent range $\theta < 1/3$ in a landmark paper of Matomäki in 2009 [14].

Diophantine approximation problems of the above type have been connected to sieve theory. Recently in 2007, Todorova and Tolev [17] studied the distribution of $\{\alpha p\}$ for primes of a special type. Let $\mathcal{P}_r$ denote the set of positive integers that have at most r prime factors counted with multiplicity. For any $0 < \theta \leq 1/100$, it was proved in [17] that (1) holds for infinitely many primes p such that $p + 2 \in \mathcal{P}_4$. Matomäki improved the result to $p + 2 \in \mathcal{P}_2$ in 2009 for any $\theta < 1/1000$ [13]. This improvement is in part due to the application of sieve weights similar to those used in Chen's famous 1973 paper [7] related to the Goldbach conjecture, and second in part due to a Bombieri–Vinogradov type estimate for linear exponential sums.

Studying the above distribution problems in the cases where the exponent of the prime is not an integer are also important. The well-known *H-conjecture* asserts that there are infinitely many primes of the form $n^2 + 1$ [9]. This is indeed equivalent to

$$\{\sqrt{p}\} < p^{-1/2}, \tag{2}$$

Date: 23 September 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11N36; Secondary 11J71.

Key words and phrases. Exponential sums, linear sieve, diophantine approximation.

for infinitely many primes p. Balog and Harman independently obtained the exponent $-1/4+\varepsilon$ for the right hand side of (2), see [5] and [10] respectively. In the spirit of [5, 10], Baier subsequently obtained asymptotic formulae for the number of primes on an interval satisfying

$$\{p^{\gamma}-\beta\}<\delta,$$

for $0<\gamma<1$ and for a range of δ depending on a fractional power of p, see [2, 3, 4]. Similar to the linear case, Cai in [6] has initiated the study of the distribution $\{\sqrt{p}\}$ modulo one for primes p such that $p+2\in\mathcal{P}_4$. In particular he proves the following result using a delicate sieve technique and mean value estimates for the von Mangoldt function.

Theorem 1. [6] *The inequality*

$$\{\sqrt{p}\}<p^{-1/15.5}$$

holds for infinitely many primes p such that $p+2\in\mathcal{P}_4$.

The purpose of this paper is to extend this type of study to the wider range $0<\gamma<1$. We prove the following result.

Theorem 2. *Suppose $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$, $\beta\in\mathbb{R}$ and $0<\gamma<1$ are fixed. If $\theta<\gamma/10$, then there are infinitely many primes p such that*

$$\|\alpha p^{\gamma}+\beta\|<p^{-\theta}\quad \textit{and}\quad p+2\in\mathcal{P}_3. \tag{3}$$

The main utility of Theorem 2 is the allowance to take $r=3$ for all exponents $0<\gamma<1$ simultaneously. Despite the uniform control we have over the number of prime factors of $p+2$, we still lament that $\theta\to 0^{+}$ as $\gamma\to 0^{+}$. However, we can take $\theta<1/20$ for $1/2\leq\gamma<1$.

2. Preliminaries and auxiliary results

In what follows, let $e(x):=\exp(2\pi ix)$, $n\sim N$, $n\in[N,2N]\cap\mathbb{Z}$ and $\tau(n)$ be the divisor function. The proof of Theorem 2 will rely on estimates of the following weighted exponential sum. For $N,K,d,c\in\mathbb{N}$ and $0<\gamma<1$, let

$$S\big(N,K,D,\gamma\big):=\sum_{d\leq D}\lambda(d)\sum_{0<|k|\leq K}\sum_{\substack{n\sim N\\ n\equiv c \pmod d}}b_n e\big(\alpha k n^{\gamma}\big), \tag{4}$$

where

$$b_n:=\begin{cases}1 & \text{for } n \text{ prime}\\ 0 & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases}$$

and λ is any well-factorable function of level D. The well-factorable hypothesis for λ will be needed to eventually to access a Theorem of Bombieri–Friendlander–Iwaniec for primes in arithmetic progressions [1]. This will be crucial for optimising the level of distribution in the linear sieve we use employ, and ultimately for achieving $r=3$

in Theorem 2. In fact, Lemma 1 which deals with the estimation of (4), will only use $|\lambda(d)| \leq 1$. Swapping the order of summation in (4) we obtain

$$S\big(N, K, D, \gamma\big) := \sum_{0<|k|\leq K} \sum_{n\sim N} a_n e\big(\alpha k n^\gamma\big), \tag{5}$$

where

$$a_n := \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{d|(n-c)\\ d\leq D}} \lambda(d) & \text{for } n \text{ prime} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that

$$|a_n| \leq \sum_{d|(n-c)} \lambda(d) \ll \tau(n-c) \ll_\varepsilon n^\varepsilon. \tag{6}$$

This good behaviour of (a_n) in the ℓ^2 sense will also be crucial in optimising the level of distribution in the sieve we use, and will become apparent in the proof of Lemma 1.

Lemma 1. *Fix $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $0 < \gamma < 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then*

$$S(N, K, D, \gamma) \ll K^2 N^{1-\gamma/5+\varepsilon}. \tag{7}$$

Remark. The implied constants in (7) depend on α, γ and ε. The most salient feature of (7) is that the right hand side is independent of D. Also, the exponent of K is a non-issue as $K = o(N^{\theta+\varepsilon})$, and we can take $0 < \theta < \gamma/10 - \varepsilon$.

The idea behind the proof of Lemma 1 is elementary. The inner sum of $S(N, K, D, \gamma)$ in (5) over the interval $[N, 2N]$ is partitioned into sums over shorter intervals of length $M = o(N)$. On each short interval, the argument of the exponential is approximated linearly. On pairwise distinct short intervals we obtain a spacing in the coefficients in the exponential argument. This leads to a natural application of the large sieve inequality [15].

Proof of Lemma 1. Let $M > 0$ be a parameter chosen later. We write the inner sum $S(N, K, D, \gamma)$ over the $[N/M] + 1$ shorter intervals $I_j := \big[b_j, b_{j+1}\big)$ where

$$b_j := N + (j-1)M, \quad j = 1, \dots, [N/M] + 1.$$

The set $\cup_j I_j \setminus [N, 2N]$ contributes at most an extra $\mathcal{O}(KM)$ term to $S(N, K, D, \gamma)$, which will be negligible in our final estimate (7). For each $j = 1, \dots, [N/M] + 1$ and $|k| = 1, \dots, K$, we take a linear approximation of $f_k(n) := \alpha k n^\gamma$ on I_j centred around $b_j \in I_j$. For each j we have the following equality for some $0 < \mu_j < 1$,

$$f_k\big(b_j + x\big) = g_{j,k}(x) + x^2 \frac{f_k^{(2)}(b_j + \mu_j x)}{2!} \tag{8}$$

$$g_{j,k}(x) := f_k(b_j) + x f_k'(b_j) = \alpha k b_j^\gamma + \frac{\alpha k \gamma}{b_j^{1-\gamma}} x. \tag{9}$$

Since $0 \leq x \leq M$, by (8) and (9)

$$f_k'(b_j + x) - g_{j,k}'(x) \ll \frac{|k| M}{N^{2-\gamma}}. \tag{10}$$

Via partial summation and (10),

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\sum_{n\in I_j} a_n e\big(\alpha k n^\gamma\big)\Big| &= \Big|\sum_{x=0}^{M-1} e\big(f_k(b_j+x)-g_{j,k}(x)\big)\Big(a_{b_j+x}e\big(g_{j,k}(x)\big)\Big)\Big| \\ &\ll \Big|\sum_{x=0}^{M-1} a_{b_j+x}e\big(g_{j,k}(x)\big)\Big| + \frac{|k|M^3}{N^{2-\gamma-\varepsilon}} \\ &= \Big|\sum_{n\in I_j} a_n e\big(f_k'(b_j)n\big)\Big| + \frac{|k|M^3}{N^{2-\gamma-\varepsilon}}\end{aligned}$$

We now sum over j and k. Applying the triangle and Cauchy–Schwarz inequalities we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\big|S(N,K,D,\gamma)\big| &\ll \sum_j \sum_{0<|k|\le K}\Big|\sum_{n\in I_j} a_n e\big(f_k'(b_j)n\big)\Big| + \frac{K^2M^2}{N^{1-\gamma-\varepsilon}} \\ &\ll K^{1/2}\Big(\frac{N}{M}\Big)^{1/2}\Big(\sum_{0<|k|\le K}\sum_j\Big|\sum_{n\in I_j} a_n e\big(f_k'(b_j)n\big)\Big|^2\Big)^{1/2} + \frac{K^2M^2}{N^{1-\gamma-\varepsilon}}.\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

For $j\neq l$ and all sufficiently large N,

$$|f_k'(b_j)-f_k'(b_l)| \gg \frac{|k|M}{N^{2-\gamma}}.$$

Applying the large sieve inequality [15] and (6) to the middle sum in (11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}S(N,K,D,\gamma) &\ll K^{1/2}\Big(\frac{N}{M}\Big)^{1/2}\Big(\sum_{0<|k|\le K}\Big(M+\frac{N^{2-\gamma}}{kM}\Big)MN^{2\varepsilon}\Big)^{1/2} + \frac{K^2M^2}{N^{1-\gamma-\varepsilon}} \\ &\ll_\varepsilon KM^{1/2}N^{1/2+\varepsilon} + \frac{K^{1/2}N^{3/2-\gamma/2+\varepsilon}}{M^{1/2}} + \frac{K^2M^2}{N^{1-\gamma-\varepsilon}}.\end{aligned}$$

If we choose $M \asymp N^{1-3\gamma/5}$, then the bound becomes

$$S(N,K,D,\gamma) \ll K^2N^{1-\gamma/5+\varepsilon}.$$

■

Remark. Summing dyadically over $\mathcal{O}(\log N)$ intervals in the inner sum and employing the triangle inequality we obtain

$$\Big|\sum_{0<|k|\le K}\sum_{1\le n\le N} a_n e\big(\alpha k n^\gamma\big)\Big| \ll_\varepsilon K^2N^{1-\gamma/5+\varepsilon}$$

for any $\varepsilon>0$ (larger than the ε used above).

The strategy is to now use the linear sieve of Iwaniec with bilinear error term to prove Theorem 2, and we follow the approach in [13]. However, we make use of Lemma 1 in tandem with a Theorem of Bombieri–Friedlander–Iwaniec [1] in

optimising the level of distribution. First, let $\chi(t)$ be a smooth periodic function with period 1 such that

$$\chi(t) := \begin{cases} \in (0,1) & \text{if } -\delta < t < \delta \\ 0 & \delta \le t \le 1-\delta. \end{cases}$$

We easily see that χ has the Fourier series expansion

$$\chi(t) = \delta + \sum_{|k|>0} g_k e\big(kt\big), \tag{12}$$

where the Fourier coefficients satisfy

$$g_k \ll \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{|k|>K} g_k < \frac{1}{K} \quad \text{for} \quad K \ge \delta^{-1}\big(\log \delta\big)^C, \tag{13}$$

for some $C > 0$. Let $\mathcal{B} := (b_n)_{n\le N}$, where

$$b_n = \begin{cases} \chi\big(\alpha(n-2)^\gamma + \beta\big) & \text{for } n-2 \in \mathcal{P} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For small r we wish to know whether the sequence is supported on infinitely many elements of $\mathcal{P}_r$. For this we will use sieve methods. Standard sieve notation will be employed. Let $\mathcal{F} := (f_n)$ a sequence of non-negative numbers, $\mathcal{F}_d := (f_{dn})_{dn\le N}$ and

$$|\mathcal{F}| := \sum_{n\le N} f_n \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathcal{F}_d| := \sum_{dn\le N} f_{dn}.$$

Consider the sieving function

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}, z) := \sum_{\substack{n\in\mathcal{F} \\ (n,P(z))=1}} f_n,$$

where

$$P(z) = \prod_{p<z} p.$$

Also let

$$V(z) := \prod_{p\le z} \big(1 - g(p)\big),$$

where $g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a multipicative function supported on square free integers, such that $0 \le g(d) < 1$ and

$$|\mathcal{F}_d| = g(d)|\mathcal{F}| + r_d,$$

for some remainders r_d sufficiently small.

Lemma 2. [11, 9] *Let $2 \le z \le D^{1/2}$, $s := \log D/\log z$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1/3$. Assume there is an absolute constant $K > 1$ such that*

$$\frac{V(z_2)}{V(z_1)} \le \frac{\log z_2}{\log z_1}\left(1 + \frac{K}{\log z_1}\right),$$

for all $z_2 \geq z_1 \geq 2$. Then for functions $F(s)$ and $f(s)$ defined by

$$F(s) = \frac{2e^{\gamma}}{s}, \quad 0 < s \leq 3,$$
$$f(s) = \frac{2e^{\gamma}\log(s-1)}{s}, \quad 2 \leq s \leq 4,$$

we have

$$S(\mathcal{F}, z) \geq XV(z)\Big(f(s) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)\Big) - \sum_{l<L}\sum_{d|P(z)} \lambda_l^-(d) r(\mathcal{F}, d),$$
$$S(\mathcal{F}, z) \leq XV(z)\Big(F(s) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)\Big) + \sum_{l<L}\sum_{d|P(z)} \lambda_l^+(d) r(\mathcal{F}, d),$$

where the $\lambda_l^{\pm}$ are bounded well-factorable functions of level D and $L = O_{\varepsilon}(1)$.

3. Proof of the theorem

Proof of Theorem 2. We follow [13]. Let $\delta := N^{-\theta}$. The sum we are ultimately interested in estimating is

$$H(N) := \sum_{\substack{p \leq N \\ (p+2, P(z))=1 \\ \|\alpha p^{\gamma} + \beta\| < \delta}} 1.$$

Since

$$\chi(t) \leq \mathbf{1}\big(\|t\| \leq \delta\big),$$

we obtain

$$H(N) \geq \sum_{\substack{p \leq N \\ (p+2, P(z))=1}} \chi(\alpha p^{\gamma} + \beta).$$

Applying a lower bound sieve to $\mathcal{B}$ we obtain

$$H(N) \geq \sum_{p \leq N} \chi(\alpha p^{\gamma} + \beta) \sum_{\substack{d | (p+2, P(z)) \\ d \leq D}} \lambda(d),$$

where λ is well-factorable. Swapping the order of summation we have

$$H(N) \geq \sum_{\substack{d \leq D \\ d | P(z)}} \lambda(d) \sum_{\substack{p \leq N \\ p \equiv -2 \pmod d}} \chi(\alpha p^{\gamma} + \beta). \tag{14}$$

Suppose d is square-free and $2 \mid d$, then $\mathcal{B}_d = r(\mathcal{B}, d) \leq 1$ since $nd - 2 \notin \mathcal{P}$. When $2 \nmid d$ we appeal to the Fourier series expansion in (12) to show that the hypotheses in Lemma 2 hold for some ω and r. Setting $K := \delta^{-1}(\log \delta)^C$ with C to be chosen

later, the right hand side of (14) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{d\le D}\lambda(d)|\mathcal{B}_d| &= \sum_{d\le D}\lambda(d)\sum_{\substack{p\le N\\ p\equiv -2 \pmod d}}\chi\big(\alpha p^\gamma+\beta\big)\\ &= \sum_{d\le D}\lambda(d)\sum_{\substack{p\le N\\ p\equiv -2 \pmod d}}\delta\bigg(1+\sum_{0<|k|\le K}\tilde{g}_k e\big(\alpha k p^\gamma\big)\bigg)+\mathcal{O}\bigg(\frac{N\log D}{K}\bigg)\\ &= \sum_{d\le D}\lambda(d)\delta\bigg(\frac{\mathrm{li}(N)}{\phi(d)}+R_d+E_d+\mathcal{O}\bigg(\frac{N\log D}{(\log N)^C}\bigg)\bigg),\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{g}_k \ll 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}R_d &:= \sum_{\substack{p\le N\\ p\equiv -2 \pmod d}} 1-\frac{\mathrm{li}(N)}{\phi(d)}\\ E_d &:= \sum_{\substack{p\le N\\ p\equiv -2 \pmod d}}\sum_{0<|k|\le K}\tilde{g}_k e\big(\alpha k p^\gamma\big).\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

By Theorem 10 of [1], for any $A>0$ we have

$$\sum_{d\le N^{4/7-\varepsilon}}\lambda(d)R_d \ll_A \frac{N}{(\log N)^A}.$$

Applying Lemma 1 with $K < N^{\gamma/10-\varepsilon}$ we see that

$$\Big|\sum_{d\le D}\lambda(d)E_d\Big| \ll N/(\log N)^A.$$

Thus we may apply linear lower bound sieve in Lemma 2 with level $D := N^{4/7-\varepsilon}$, $X = \delta\mathrm{li}(N)$,

$$\omega(d) = \begin{cases}0 & \text{if } 2\mid d\\ d/\phi(d) & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

and $z^{2.01} := D$. (This corresponds to $s = 2.01$ in Lemma 2). We can conclude

$$S(\mathcal{B}, z) \gg \frac{\delta N}{(\log N)^2},$$

for all sufficiently large N. ■.

Acknowledgements. The author wishes to warmly thank Kyle Pratt for his many helpful suggestions in optimising the results in this paper. The author gives thanks to Alexandru Zaharescu for his valuable guidance and Wadim Zudilin for his helpful suggestions on the manuscript. George Shakan is acknowledged for the miscellaneous insights he often provides the author from day to day. The author is currently supported on a teaching assistantship at the University of Illinois at Urbana–Champaign.

References

[1] E. Bombieri, J.B. Friedlander and H.Iwaniec, Primes in arithmetic progressions to large moduli, *Acta Math.* **156**:3-4 (1986), 203–251.

[2] S. Baier, On the p^λ problem, *Acta Arith.* **113**:1 (2004), 77–101.

[3] S. Baier, Almost-all results on the p^λ problem, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **106**:3 (2005), 253–269.

[4] S. Baier, Almost-all results on the p^λ problem II, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **113**:1-2 (2006), 39–50.

[5] A. Balog, On the fractional part of p^θ, *Arch. Math (Basel)* **40**:5 (1983), 434–440.

[6] Y. Cai, On the distribution of $\sqrt{p}$ modulo one involving primes of a special type, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* **50**:4 (2013), 470–490.

[7] J.R. Chen, On the representation of a larger even integer as the sum of a prime and the product of at most two primes, *Sci. Sinica* **16** (1973), 157–176.

[8] H. Davenport, Multiplicative Number Theory, *Springer*, (1967)

[9] H. Halberstam and H.E. Richert, Sieve methods, *London* (1974).

[10] G. Harman, On the distribution of $\sqrt{p}$ modulo one, *Mathematika* **30**:1 (1983), 104–116.

[11] H. Iwaniec, A new form of error term in the linear sieve, *Acta Arith.*, **37**:1 (1980), 307–320.

[12] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, Analytic Number Theory, *Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ.*, **53**:1 (2004).

[13] K. Matomäki, The distribution of αp modulo one, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **147**:2 (2009), 267–283.

[14] K. Matomäki, A Bombieri–Vinogradov type exponential sum with applications, *J. Number Theory* **129**:9 (2009), 2214–2285.

[15] H.L. Montgomery The analytic principle of the large sieve, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **84**:4 (1978), 547–567.

[16] E.C. Titchmarsh, The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function, *Oxford University Press*, (1986).

[17] T.L. Todorova and D.I. Tolev, On the distribution of αp modulo one for prime of a special type, *Math. Slovaca* **60**:6 (2010), 771–786.

[18] I.M. Vinogradov, The method of trigonometrical sums in the theory of numbers, *Trav. Inst. Math. Stekloff* **23**:2 (1947), 1–109.

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, 1409 West Green Street, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

E-mail address: ajdunn2@illinois.edu